

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/605,253	09/18/2003	Chao-I Wu	11649-US-PA	2252	
31561	7590 09/27/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	UN INTELLECTUAL	LE, THAO P			
7 FLOOR-1, ROOSEVELT	NO. 100 ΓROAD, SECTION 2		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TAIPEI, 100			2818		
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004	DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(_{\(\rac{1}{2}\)}

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/605,253	WU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thao P. Le	2818			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days along and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/18/0	<u>03</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r .				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	nriarity under 25 LLC C & 110(a)	(d) or (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(c)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- Claims 1- 30 are pending in this application.
- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- Group I. Claims 1-19, drawn to process of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, and subclass 558.
- Group II. Claims 20-30, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 183.
- Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be use to make other and materially different product or by hand, or (2) that process as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instance case unpatentabilities of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group II invention could be made by the processes materially different from those of the group I invention, for example, the single-crystal silicon layer of group II invention can be formed without annealing step as disclosed in group I invention, and group II invention doesn't disclose openings as in group I invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,253 Page 3

Art Unit: 2818

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the fields of search are not co-extensive. Therefore, separate examination would be required and

restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Groups I and II contain claims directed to the following patentably distinct species

of the claimed invention.

A. If group I is elected, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a

single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be

restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no generic.

Specie 1: Claims 1-10.

Specie 2: Claims 11-19.

B. If group II is elected, Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a

single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be

restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no generic.

Specie 1: Claims 20-24.

Specie 2: Claims 25-30.

5. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thao P. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-1785. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T (7-6).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on 571-272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thao P. Le

Art Unit 2818

Sept. 23, 2004.